☑ 001/003

B. PART I WORKSHOP SUMMARY

The ABHP Part I Revision Examination Committee held their third workshop on October 3-4, 1987, at the Marriott Hotel in Tyson's Corner, Virginia. During this workshop, the Committee re-viewed the statistical analysis (performed by the Professional Examination Service (PES) of New York City) of the candidate's performance on the 1987 examination, and reviewed those questions which had poor performance statistics. All answers for these questions were determined to be ecorrect. Five questions were changed on the exam, seventeen were modified. In addition, approximately 125 new questions were reviewed for placement in the "bank." Those attending the workshop were: Lee Booth, Dick Bowers, Allen Brodsky, Morgan Cox, Dale Denham, Glenn Glasgow, Neil Gaeta, Syd Porter, Bob Quillin, Dennis Quinn, Bob Ryan, Les Slaback and Joe Sayeg (Chairman). A special vote of thanks is given the above CHPs who devoted their weekend to these Professional Examination Service (PES) of New the above CHPs who devoted their weekend to these important activities. Another workshop is plan-ned in 1988, and volunteers are requested.

4. PART I EXAM RESULTS

The following candidates successfully took Part I of the exam. Please extend your congratulations to them personally, and encourage them to continue to learn, and to sit for Part II as soon as they are qualified. Equally important, encourage those who didn't pass to study harder and try again next year.

CONGRATULATIONS TO:

Joseph P. Allgeier Gary F. Boothe George Buzzelli Carl R. Efird, Jr. Richard T. Greene Donald L. Helm John M. Longley Michael Matheny Jagjit K. Mohindra Johathan R. Newman Colleen F. Petullo Charles F. Reindl Glenn M. Sturchio

Brett Armstrong Terry M. Button John F. Copeland Gerald L. Gels Roger L. Halsey Roman Kahn Daniel S. Mantooth Ronald L. Mlekodaj William J. Munyon Arthur J. Palmer III Bruce A. Rathbone James W. Schmidt Leonard S. Sygitowicz

5. OTHER PART I INFORMATION

Historically Part I has been handled with a series of ad-hoc committees to accomplish the needed tasks. Several years ago Joe Sayeg was asked by the Board to serve as the coordinator for Part I between the Board and PES (our contractor). He has still been assisted by a service of ad-hoc working groups. The Board has now decided to create a permanent panel to deal with Part I. The exact nature of this panel and its relationship to the Board has yet to be resolved. Dick Bowers has been asked to assist Joe on Part I matters, and to recommend a future course of action for this panel.

6. PART II COMPREHENSIVE AND POWER REACTOR EXAMS

The Board continues to improve its procedures to reduce the potential for bias in the grading. Several years ago, the Board went to double grading for all questions. Last year Serial Multiple Choice (SMC) questions were added so their scoring can be completely objective. This year all non-SMC questions were triple graded. The use of multiple graders on the questions that have some subjectivity allows the Panels and Board to better review the scoring process, and select which candidate's scores should be reviewed by the dispersion of the scores from multiple graders. However, this review process puts a lot of extra work on the Panel Chairmen, as they are required to review all scores near but below the passing point if the point spread is excessive. The Board has now increased the number of graders to 5 for non-SMC questions, will discard the high and the low, and take the arithemetic average of the remaining 3 scores.

The Board also instructed the Panels to add another 1 or 2 SMC questions to next year's test. The results of a post exam survey indicated no real preference among the candidates as to the type of question. A review of the scoring, however indicated slightly lower average scoring on the SMC questions than on the traditional essay or calculational types.

CONGRATULATIONS to the following new Comprehensive CHPs!!

William C. Borden Henry E. Collins Kevin L. Holsopple Gary H. Zeman

W. John Chase Andrew D. Hodgdon Leonard Shabason

There were no successful Power Reactor Certification candidates this year.

Please extend your congratulations to these new CHPs. Equally important console those who were unsuccessful, and counsel them to study a bit harder and try again next year.

7. 1988 DATES OF INTEREST

The Board meeting will be held July 8 and 9, in Boston. The Exams will be July 8. The deadline for completed applications to take an exam is January 15.

8. CONTINUING CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

A written suggestion was made by Charles Meinhold to both the Board and the Academy that since the Board sets the criteria to determine certifica-tion, it should also set the criteria to deter-mine certification renewal. The Board has expressed its willingness to help in this area, and to work with the Academy to define the Board's proper role in the renewal process.

Attached to this newsletter is a summary of the AAHP Continuing Education Committee's proposed set of criteria for earning credits needed as part of the certification renewal process.

9. LOST CHPs - WHERE ARE YOU?

The following people have not satisfied the re-Ine following people have not satisfied the requirements for continuing certification, nor have they indicated their desire to become Inactive or Emeritus. They will be noted as nonactive in the ABHP files, will not be listed as a CHP in the Health Physics Society's Membership Handbook, will no longer receive these swell newsletters, or be entitled to the other benefits of an active CHP. If there has been a mistake, or if the "former CHP" wants to repent, please have him contact the Secretariat. contact the Secretariat.

John Alexander Walter S. Cool Merril Eisenbud P.S. Iyer Michael Morris Carl M. Unruh

George E. Backman Francis B. DeFriess Francis J. Haughey John D. Kinneman Alfred M. Strash Michael W. White