



American Academy of Health Physics  
American Board of Health Physics

## Corner

*Nancy M. Daugherty*

Address contributions for "CHP Corner" or *CHP News* to:  
Nancy M. Daugherty, CHP  
Editor, *CHP News*  
511 N. Belmont  
Lafayette, CO 80026  
e-mail: nmdaugh0@wcc.com  
W: 303-740-3880 FAX: 303-740-2705  
H: 303-673-0283

### President's Message

*Carol D. Berger, CHP*  
1995 President, AAHP

#### Heroes of the 1995 ABHP Exam

A new record has been set. The year 1995 produced the largest number of applicants and candidates for the American Board of Health Physics Certification exam that we have ever seen. Of the 674 applicants, 303 took Part I exams, and 193 took Part II exams.

First I would like to recognize the hard work of the Exam Site Selection Committee, who went beyond the call of duty in arranging for locations for the exam. The Committee is comprised of **Bob Lorenz (Chair)**, **Stephen Duce**, and **Paul Szalinski**. These three individuals were solely responsible for assuring that the exam locations were conveniently accessible to the applicants, that the rooms and facilities were appropriate, and that the potential for snags was sufficiently low so that candidates would not be additionally stressed. I am confident that Bob wishes to receive any feedback candidates may have on the success of the Committee's efforts.

In addition to selecting exam sites, the Committee was also responsible for arranging for proctors for each location. The proctors are responsible for checking in each candidate, confirming identities, determining the suitability of any calculators that are brought into the exam room, monitoring the progress of the exam, maintaining exam security, and ensuring that completed exams are safely delivered to the Executive Secretary's office. They also do what they can--within limits, of course!--to make those hours as easy as possible for the candidates (i.e., replacing pencils, monitoring time, escorting to restrooms and water fountains). The CHPs who proctored the 1995 exam include:

<u>Location</u>	<u>Proctors</u>
Aiken, SC	Ron Smith, William Thornton
Albuquerque, NM	John Campisi, Ted Simmons
Amarillo, TX	Linda Farrell, Roby Enge

Boston, MA	Ed Maher, Patricia Milligan, Neil Gaeta, Chris Martel, Bernard Olsen, Joe Ring, Ron Thurlow
Charlotte, NC	Cynthia Martinec, Changfuh Lan
Chicago, IL	Ernesto Faillace, Stephen Butala
Columbus, OH	Craig Jensen, Stephen Layendecker, Joseph Poliziani
Denver, CO	Arvin Lovaas, Michael Littleton
Gaithersburg, MD	Tom Hobbs, James Tracy
Houston, TX	Leonard Earls, Gordon Williams
Idaho Falls, ID	John Byrom, Mark Otis
King of Prussia, PA	Jesse Lieberman, Ron Nimitz
Las Vegas, NV	Mitzi Miller, Ted Allen
Los Alamos, NM	Cheryl Olson, Bill Inkret
Oak Ridge, TN	Brian Kelly, Elyse Thomas, Bill Graves
Richland, WA	Harvey Goldberg, Les Aldrich
San Francisco, CA	Anthony Greenhouse, Bill Silver
San Onofre, CA	Terry Cooper, Michael Russell
Troy, NY	Brian Hinderliter, Michael Planko

In these busy times, I know how difficult it must have been for these individuals to donate the better part of a day, or more, to serve the Academy and the candidates. The exam could not have been given in so many locations without them. When the opportunity presents itself, please join me in thanking them personally for their hard work and volunteer spirit.

But don't let all that hard work discourage you. When Bob Lorenz asks you to serve as a proctor for the 1996 exam, please say "yes."

#### Proposed Changes to the Academy's Bylaws

You have been hearing a lot recently about possible changes to the Academy's Bylaws to incorporate a new code of ethics for the practicing CHP. The Executive Committee is working on a brisk schedule to bring this issue to a membership ballot shortly after the Scottsdale meeting. There are two other equally important sets of changes to the Bylaws that will be proposed at the same time. The first set reflects the evolving relationship between the AAHP and the ABHP and the deincorporation of the ABHP. The second set is wordsmithing for improved clarity.

When you receive your ballot in the spring, you will be asked to vote on the three sets of changes independently. This type of balloting will prevent rejection of one or more of the sets of changes due to membership concerns about the third.

Since the scope of all of the proposed Bylaw changes will be significant, the Executive Committee will attempt to make the ballot as user friendly as possible. However, be prepared to do some careful reading when it arrives in the mail. And, please vote. ■